

CSBG 2010 IS REPORT

COMBINED ANNUAL REPORT

for

COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCIES OF ALABAMA

FISCAL YEAR

OCTOBER 1, 2009 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Alabama**Section A: State Use of CSBG Funds**

1. State Reporting Period (month/day/year)

From: 10/01/09 To: 09/30/10

2. Total CSBG funds expended in FY 2010 for:

	Planned	Actual	ARRA Planned	ARRA Actual
a. Eligible Entities	\$15,330,266	\$12,073,376	\$18,152,111	\$16,072,112
b. State Administrative Costs *	\$795,346	\$312,870	\$183,355	\$180,000
* ARRA ONLY: Report Planned and Actual Funds spent on Benefits Enrollment Coordination Activities				
c. Discretionary Projects	\$0	\$0		
d. Total Funds	\$16,125,612	\$12,386,246	\$18,335,466	\$16,252,112

3. Of the total in 2d, how much
represents carryover funding
from the previous fiscal year?

\$3,365,604

4. Carry-forward of FY 2010
funds to FY 2011 programs

\$3,739,365

\$0

5. State CSBG funds (see instructions)

\$792,000

6. TOTAL CSBG funds expended by
State in FY 2010

\$13,178,246

\$16,252,112

1. Eligible entities receiving FY 2010 funds:

(Please attach the provided Excel Spreadsheet for eligible entities, their addresses, and their award amounts.)

a. Number of Community Action Agencies (CAAs) among eligible entities	21
b. Number of Limited Purpose Agencies (LPAs) among eligible entities	1
c. Number of organizations serving migrant or seasonal farmworkers	0
d. Number of these also counted in a or b	0
e. Number of tribal organizations	0
f. Number of these also counted in a, b, or c	0
g. Number of units of local government	0
h. Number of these also counted in a, b, c, or e	0
i. Others designated by statute	0
j. Number of these also counted in a, b, c, e, or g	0
k. Total unduplicated number of eligible entities	22

2. Were previously funded eligible entities dropped in FY 2010?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Number:

Reason:

3. State allocation method:

- ☐ Historic
 ☐ Hold Harmless + Formula
- ☐ Formula with variables
 ☐ Other (please specify)
- ☐ Base + Formula
- ☒ Formula Alone

4. Coverage of counties

- a. Percent of State's counties receiving CSBG services at year end from local CSBG operators: 100%
- b. Number of counties newly receiving CSBG services in FY 2010 (if any)
- c. Name of newly served county(ies) in FY 2010:

5. Uses of Discretionary Project Funds
(if listed in Section A, Item 2.c)

a. What types of organizations received the awards?

1. Indian Tribes or tribal organizations	\$0
2. Migrant or farmworker organizations	\$0
3. State subgrantee associations	\$0
4. Eligible Entities	\$0
5. Other (please specify below):	\$0

Total Discretionary Funds Expended

Section A
Discretionary

\$0	\$0
-----	-----

b. For what purposes were the awards given?

1. Awards to local agencies for expansion to new areas	\$0
2. Grants for exemplary or demonstration programs	\$0
3. Competitive grants for exemplary or demonstration programs	\$0
4. Training or technical assistance for local agencies	\$0
5. Statewide programs	\$0
6. General Support	\$0
7. Other (please specify below):	\$0

Total Discretionary Funds Expended

Section A
Discretionary

\$0	\$0
-----	-----

The totals of a. and b. should match both each other and Item 2.c in Section A.

1. Please identify the cabinet or administrative department of your State CSBG office.

- ☐ Community Services Department ☐ Governor's Office
☐ Human Services Department ☒ Community Affairs Department
☐ Social Services Department ☐ Other (please specify)

2. What is the division, bureau, or office of the CSBG Administrator?

ADECA, Director's Office, Community Services Section

3. Other programs directed by the CSBG Administrator in FY 2010

a. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct DOE Weatherization?

☐ Yes ☒ No

b. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct part or all of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) bill payment and/or crisis assistance programs?

☐ Yes ☒ No

1) If yes, does the CSBG Administrator also direct the LIHEAP energy conservation program?

☐ Yes ☒ No

c. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct USDA programs? If yes, please list titles below:

☐ Yes ☒ No

d. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct HUD programs? If yes, please list titles below:

☐ Yes ☒ No

e. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct any other federal programs for the homeless?

☒ Yes ☒ No

f. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct State Head Start programs?

☒ Yes ☒ No

g. How many federal or State programs not listed above are also directed by the CSBG Administrator?
(List titles of other programs below)

0

4. Was the State CSBG office subject to a reorganization in FY 2010, such as an expansion or contraction of programs, or a transfer of the CSBG office to a different division or department?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please describe the change (attach an extra page if necessary):

5. State statute regarding CSBG:

a. Does your State have a statute authorizing Community Service programs? (If yes, please attach)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
b. Did your State legislature enact authorizing legislation, or amendments to an existing authorizing statute during FY 2010?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
<i>Please check those items which describe provisions of the current statute.</i>	
1) What is the termination date of the current statute?	<input type="text"/>
2) Does it "grandfather" CAAs?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
3) Does it specify the terms, or formula, for allotting 90% pass-through funds among eligible entities?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
4) Does it require local grantees to match CSBG funds?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
5) Does it provide for the designation of new eligible entities?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
6) Does it provide for the de-designation of eligible entities?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
7) Does it specify a process the State CSBG agency must follow to re-designate an existing eligible entity?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
8) Does it designate the bureau, division, or office in State government that is to be the State administering agency?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
9) If it has other provisions, please list them:	
6. a. Did it cost more in FY 2010 than the federally allowed limit in your State's CSBG allocation for your State to effectively administer the range of services and activities required by the CSBG Act?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
b. If yes, what was the amount of these extra costs?	<input type="text"/>
c. If yes, were State funds used to supplement federal administrative expenditures?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
d. If yes, what was the amount of the supplemental State funds?	<input type="text"/>
7. a. How many State positions were funded in whole or in part by CSBG funds?	<input type="text" value="7"/>
b. How many Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) were funded with CSBG funds?	<input type="text" value="4.43"/>

Please do NOT use acronyms.

See instructions for further details.

1. Strategic Thinking for Long-Term Solutions

- a. Please describe an agency strategy which addresses a long-term solution to a persistent problem affecting members of the low-income community.

Agency Name: The Jefferson County Committee for Economic Opportunity

- i. How did the agency identify the community need?

The Jefferson County Committee for Economic Opportunity (JCCEO) has long been concerned about the high dropout rate, low graduation rate, and high crime rate among low-income inner city youth in our community. In response to recent research that suggests that targeting middle school youth is the most effective means of addressing these concerns, and taking advantage of the addition to JCCEO's staff of several young professionals who were interested in working with youth, JCCEO administrators and managers worked with them to develop "Breakfast Clubs" at a local middle school.

- ii. How were CSBG funds used to plan, manage, and/or develop the approach?

CSBG funds helped pay the costs for administrative and program staff to work with young professional staff to plan and implement the Breakfast Club project. CSBG funds support the provision of breakfast refreshments for the students when donations are not available.

- iii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Local partners have included Putnam Middle School administrators and staff who helped to plan the project, several volunteer young professionals who have assisted with the breakfast sessions, and several local restaurants that have provided breakfast food.

- iv. What outcome indicators did the agency use to measure success?

6.3 Y 1-5
JCCEO has used attendance, response and feedback from Putnam Middle School administrators and staff, and survey feedback from students and parents to measure success. In the future, JCCEO hopes to also track graduation rates at the end of high school to help determine outcomes for participants.

- v. What outcomes have resulted in FY 2010? If no outcomes yet, when?

This project has been hailed as a success by the middle school staff, students, and parents. School staff report better attendance and improved attentiveness and dedication on the part of participating students. This project also resulted in increased connection with and trust from Breakfast Club parents, who stated that their children look forward to Breakfast Club meetings. The Birmingham City School Board presented the JCCEO Breakfast Club Project an Outstanding Partner in Education Award.

2. Delivering High-Quality, Accessible, and Well-Managed Services

- a. Please describe what you consider to be the top management accomplishment achieved by your State CSBG office during FY 2010. Show how responsible, informed leadership led to effective and efficient management of the CSBG program.

Top State Management Accomplishment:

The State has been able to use the data collection software to produce more accurate numbers for reporting purposes. The State employed a full-time FACSPRO Specialist to be the contact person for all agencies to contact for assistance in implementing and maintaining the system. In addition, this staff person conducts training throughout the state and holds quarterly meetings with agency staff to give updates and discuss issues that arise.

- b. Please describe what you consider to be the top three management accomplishments achieved by your agencies during FY 2010. Show how responsible, informed leadership and effective, efficient processes led to high-quality, accessible, and well-managed services.

Top Three Agency Management Accomplishments:

Agency Name: Organized Community Action Program, Inc.

Accomplishment:

The Agency was able to 100% implement the state mandated data system with its CSBG programs. This made all reporting requirements less time consuming and more accurate.

Agency Name: Community Services Programs of West Alabama, Inc.

Accomplishment:

Successful administration of stimulus monies through collaborations in our coverage area by creating jobs, retaining positions in our own agency as well as providing financial support to other agencies to maintain certain employees. In addition, we improved infrastructure within our own organization by purchasing new computers in administration and the Head Start administrative office, as well as purchase of a new telephone system for the agency. Upgrade of internet access was also done in our outlying areas.

Agency Name: Walker County Community Action Agency, Inc.

Accomplishment:

Informing our clients on programs available, and up-coming events and programs helps our agency manage the services we provide. Partnerships with other agencies, churches, and local officials helped our agency offer programs that we were not able to do alone. The Agency set up a information center in the waiting area. Information for all of the services offered was available. If services were not offered at the Agency information from entities that provided the services was available. Follow-up with clients and organized scheduling helps the operation of our services.

3. Mobilizing Resources to Support Innovative Solutions

- a. Please describe how your agency addressed a cause or condition of poverty in the community using an innovative or creative approach. Showcase how your agency relied on mobilization and coordination of resources to help reach interim and final outcomes. Demonstrate how CSBG "works" as it funds staff activities, investments, or services to meet a community need.

i. Agency Name: The Jefferson County Committee for Economic Opportunity

ii. Program Name: Neighborhood Stabilizaion

iii. CSBG Service Category: Housing

iv. Description of program (capacity, duration, targeted population, etc)

The Neighborhood Stabilization Program uses HUD funding to purchase and renovate houses that have been foreclosed upon in the City of Birmingham. These houses are then sold to first time low-to-middle income homebuyers or gifted to other non-profits for use as rental housing for their clients. The program has supported the purchase of 40 houses, and it will end in June 2011.

v. How was the agency's approach innovative or creative? Please be specific.

JCCEO is the only Community Action Agency in Alabama to receive this funding, adding this service to its array of Housing Services.

vi. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

Forty houses have been purchased, two houses have been purchased by low-to-moderate income homebuyers, and thirteen houses have been gifted to other non-profits. All houses are in the City of Birmingham.

vii. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

CSBG funds were used to help support the employment of a Housing Coordinator who led the development of applications to the City of Birmingham for Neighborhood Stabilization Program funding. CSBG funds also helped to support the salaries of the Community Services Division Director and the Executive Director who worked with the Housing Coordinator to develop the applications, and now help to support these two staff and the accounting staff who support the implementation of NSP.

viii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

The City of Birmingham, as the grantee from HUD and from ADECA, is the major local partner providing funding and oversight for this program. Realty companies that have partnered to implement the project include Barnes and Associates, Realty One, LLC, and Realty South. House renovation contractors that have partnered on this project include Expedient Logistics Group, HC Whitney, LLC, NC Trevino Construction, McDonald Construction, Solid Foundation, LLC, and Syms Contractors, Inc. Housing inspection firms have included Integrity Home Inspection and AmeriSpec Home Inspection Service. Surveyors have included Jackins, Butler & Adams, Inc., and Miller, Triplett, & Miller Engineers. Law firms have included the Law Offices of Jeff W. Parmer, LLC, Yvonne Green-Davis, LLC, Foster and Associates, LLC, Sirote and Permutt, Duell/Hunt Attorneys at Law, and Battaglia Law Office, LLC. Appraisers have included AAA Appraisal Services, Thomas A. Horn Appraisal Services, Tomlinson Appraisals, Washington Appraisal Services, and Cook Appraisal Group.

Please do NOT use acronyms.

See instructions for further details.

4. Providing Positive Results for Vulnerable Populations

- a. Please describe one youth-focused initiative that illustrates how CSBG funding was used and coordinated with other programs and resources.

Agency Name: Walker County Community Action Agency, Inc.

i. Description of initiative

One of the largest youth-focused initiatives for this agency is the Summer Basketball/Volleyball Program. This program was designed to help develop positive relationships with the local police department and local community leaders. 128 youth from the community were enrolled in the program.

ii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Local partners included police departments, council members, Jasper City Parks and Recreation, Parrish High School, Sipsey Community Center, and local community leaders. The schools and community centers provided buildings for practice and tournaments. The police departments, city councils, and community leaders provided coaches and peer guidance for the youth.

iii. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

128 youth were enrolled in this program with 124 completing the program. Those that completed were taught positive sportsmanship, respect for leaders, team work, and discipline. The program helped prevent the youth from negative behaviour during their free time. Coaches practice with the youth two to three days per week.

iv. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

CSBG funds were used to pay staff salaries for those involved in the intake process.

- b. Please describe one senior-focused initiative that illustrates how CSBG funding was used and coordinated with other programs and resources.

Agency Name: Community Action Agency of Baldwin, Escambia, Clarke, Monroe, and Conecuh Counties

i. Description of initiative

A program focused on the elderly, called Senior Awareness Day, was held in each of the five counties served by the agency. Seniors were provided information on services available for them in their communities. They were also given awards of appreciation for being in attendance.

ii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Area on Aging, Veteran's Affairs, Goodwill Easter Seals, South Alabama Regional Planning Corporation, Way Community Church, Faulkner State Community College, County Health Departments, Ozanam Pharmacy, Retired Senior Volunteer Program, Emergency Management Administration, Department of Human Resources, Alabama Transit System, Legal Services, Secure Horizons, American Red Cross, Grove Hill Memorial Hospital, Chambers of Commerce, Social Security Administration, Young Men's Christian Association, Evergreen Medical Center, Med-South, Dura Med, Shell Food Mart, Bay Area Food Bank. All of the Partners donated time, food, door prizes, and material to make the Senior Awareness Program a success.

iii. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

This program provided a one-stop shop to meet the seniors' needs. Community Action organized and facilitated as many as 50 organizations throughout the 5 county service area to come out and provide services for seniors in one location for each County. Seniors received assistance with food stamps, education, medication, emergency planning/evacuation, Social Security benefits, Veteran's benefits, volunteerism, employment, discounted groceries, and much more.

iv. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

CSBG funds were used to pay staff wages and travel for these events, as well as materials used during the event.

Please do NOT use acronyms.

See instructions for further details.

5. Impact of ARRA CSBG Funds

- a. Please describe how one agency program, funded at least in part by ARRA CSBG funds, created or saved jobs in your community.

Agency Name:

i. Number of jobs created and/or saved:

ii. How were ARRA CSBG funds used? Please be specific

The 2010 Summer Youth Employment Program (SYEP) at JCCEO engaged and employed 550 talented, energetic Jefferson County youth for six weeks. The CSBG-ARRA funded program began with interviews of more than 1000 youth. Selected program participants, more than 500 from low-income households and all ranging in age from 14 to 21, were given orientation and job training and then placed at 105 SYEP partnering companies, agencies, and organizations located throughout the Jefferson County area for workplace exposure and to gain invaluable work experience and knowledge. Each partner employed one-to-fifteen SYEP youth, depending on the partner's needs. As they worked and learned in a professional environment, the 550 program youth, twenty of whom were counselors, broadened their experiences and connected with other youth and the world of work.

iii. If applicable, how were regularly appropriated CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

Regular CSBG funds helped to pay the salaries of the Community Services Division Director and the accounting staff who supported this project.

iv. What percent of participants had incomes in the following ranges when they enrolled in the program?

1. 0% to 125% of Federal Poverty Line (FPL) 2. 126% to 200% of FPL

v. Describe the community improvement created or supported using ARRA CSBG funds.

1. The role of partners or collaborations

At a time when funds to employ more staff were limited, more than 100 partners provided jobs for the youth employed through this ARRA-CSBG funded project, and the attendant day-to-day supervision for the youth. The City of Birmingham and Birmingham City Schools' Jackson Olin High School were enlisted to provide venues for Summer Youth Employment Program activities.

2. Type of resource contributed by each partner (monetary, in-kind, services, etc)

The Summer Youth Employment Program employing partners (companies, agencies, and organizations) contributed the in-kind services of supervision of the youth. Birmingham City Schools' Jackson Olin High School provided a venue for program activities, and the city of Birmingham provided the Boutwell Auditorium facility for the Summer Youth Employment Program end-of-program activity.

vi. Had the need addressed by this initiative been identified in previous community assessments or was it an emergent problem?

Yes, the need for summer jobs for low-income youth is consistently identified in community assessments.

- b. Please describe one major agency initiative supported at least in part by ARRA CSBG funds (other than the initiative listed in "5.a", above).

Agency Name: Community Action Agency of Northwest Alabama, Inc.

i. Was this a new initiative or the expansion of a previously offered program/service?

This was a new initiative.
SAFE program started for women leaving the local domestic violence program who wished to become independent and self-sufficient and avoid returning to the abuser.

ii. Which factor(s) allowed for the creation or expansion of these services? (Check all that apply)

- ☒ Increased Funding
- ☐ Operational changes
- ☐ Expanded income eligibility
- ☐ Other (please explain)

Please explain other:

iii. Regarding regularly appropriated CSBG funds:

1. How much CSBG was used to support this initiative? \$0

2. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

n/a

iv. Regarding ARRA CSBG funds:

1. How much ARRA CSBG was used to support this initiative? \$20,234

2. How were ARRA CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

The Agency paid housing deposits/utility deposits, long-term rent (sliding scale), college tuition, college books, GED testing, drug test before they could enter college, helped with car repairs and paid car insurance on one to drive to work and keep a job. Funds were also used to pay for one staff person's % of time dedicated to the service.

v. Did this initiative primarily impact (Check all that apply)

- ☐ 1. Infants and children (0-11 years)
- ☐ 4. Seniors (55+ years)
- ☐ 2. Youth (12-18 years)
- ☐ 5. Entire Community
- ☒ 3. Adults (18-54 years)

Please explain if this affected the entire community:

vi. What percent of participants had incomes in the following ranges when they enrolled in the program?

1. 0% to 125% of Federal Poverty Line (FPL) 100 2. 126% to 200% of FPL

vii. Describe the community improvement created or supported using ARRA CSBG funds.

1. The role of partners or collaborations

1. Involvement from our local domestic violence shelter has resulted in referrals based on staff experience of women who truly wanted to leave an abusive situation. Local landlords have partnered with agency counselors to provide shelter, reduce deposits. CSBG funded the deposits or sliding scale rents until women could get education and/or jobs.
2. Expertise in domestic violence by the shelter. Landlords in reduced fees.
3. Churches (faith-based) were involved in paying a portion of the deposits or other needs for some as a way to retain involvement of the local partners.

2. Type of resource contributed by each partner (monetary, in-kind, services, etc.)

described in #1.

viii. Had the need addressed by this initiative been identified in previous community assessments or was it an emergent problem?

The need is not a new or 'emergent' problem however funds to assist women leaving shelters are scarce. Providing case management and support along with the funding for supportive services to actually help the women made the difference in their struggle toward independence or returning to the abuser.

ix. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

- 8 Clients were enrolled in the program.
- 6 completely severed ties with the abuser and 2 are unknown.
- 5 maintained steady employment.
- 3 enrolled in college.
- 2 attended and maintained good GPA's.
- 1 of 2 completed the Workforce Development Work Keys program.
- All 8 were able to leave Safe Place domestic shelter and obtain safe/affordable housing with assistance.
- 3 maintained housing more than 6 months without assistance.
- 2 women utilized transportation provided through the Job Access Return Commute (JARC) program for work and school.
- 1 was assisted with minor car repairs and insurance paid for 3-months so she was able to drive and maintain employment.

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

Table 1: Total amount of CSBG funds expended in FY 2010 by Service Category

Service Category	CSBG Funds	CSBG ARRA Funds
Employment	\$922,197	\$2,919,117
Education	\$1,503,394	\$4,057,055
IncomeManagement	\$750,744	\$644,811
Housing	\$830,696	\$1,503,825
EmergencyServices	\$4,449,278	\$4,290,331
Nutrition	\$829,836	\$782,587
Linkages	\$886,527	\$914,321
SelfSufficiency	\$976,823	\$932,427
Health	\$424,398	\$893,326
Other	\$0	\$0
Totals	\$11,573,894	\$16,937,800

Of the CSBG funds reported above

\$1,897,418

\$1,042,652

were for administration.

16.39%

6.16%

Please consult the instructions regarding what constitutes "administration."

Table 2: Of the funding listed in Table 1: Funds for Services by Demographic Category, FY 2010

Demographic Category	CSBG Funds	CSBG ARRA Funds
Youth (Aged 12-18)	\$625,506	\$3,276,920
Seniors (Aged 55+)	\$1,360,526	\$2,891,013

Alabama

Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

Subsection I. Federal Resources

2. Amount of FY 2010 CSBG allocated to reporting agency:

3. Federal Resources (other than CSBG)

- a. Weatherization (DOE) (include oil overcharge \$)
- b. LIHEAP- Fuel Assistance (HHS) (include oil overcharge \$)
- c. LIHEAP- Weatherization (HHS) (include oil overcharge \$)
- d. Head Start (HHS)
- e. Early Head Start (HHS)
- f. Older Americans Act (HHS)
- g. SSBG (HHS)
- h. Medicare/Medicaid (HHS)
- i. Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)
- j. Child Care Development Block Grant (CCDBG)
- k. Other HHS resources (list largest to smallest):

TOTAL HHS Other:

- l. WIC (USDA)
- m. All USDA Non-Food Programs (e.g. rural development)
- n. All Other USDA Food Programs
- o. CDBG - Federal, State, and Local
- p. Housing Programs (HUD):
 - i. Section 8
 - ii. Section 202
 - iii. Home Tenant Based Assistance
 - iv. HOPE for Homeowners Program (H4H)
 - v. Emergency Shelter Grant Program (ESGP)
 - vi. Continuum of Care (CofC)
- q. All other HUD including homeless programs
- r. Employment and training programs (US DOL)
- s. Other US DOL programs
- t. Corp. for National and Community Services (CNCS)
- u. FEMA
- v. Transportation (US DOT)
- w. Department of Education
- x. Department of Justice
- y. Department of Treasury
- z. Other Federal Sources (list largest to smallest):

TOTAL Federal Other:

ARRA ONLY

TOTAL: NON-CSBG FEDERAL RESOURCES	\$173,673,303	\$34,699,046
--	----------------------	---------------------

2.	\$12,097,262	\$16,042,532
a.	\$3,336,225	\$21,758,166
b.	\$65,739,754	\$1,545,281
c.	\$479,083	\$0
d.	\$78,391,116	\$4,915,332
e.	\$5,662,765	\$4,172,806
f.	\$451,764	\$0
g.	\$0	\$0
h.	\$972,373	\$0
i.	\$50,000	\$0
j.	\$7,019	\$0
i.	\$325,138	\$0
ii.	\$0	\$0
iii.	\$0	\$0
iv.	\$0	\$0
k.	\$325,138	\$0
l.	\$52,695	\$0
m.	\$143,845	\$0
n.	\$9,430,023	\$0
o.	\$0	\$0
i.	\$0	\$0
ii.	\$0	\$0
iii.	\$450,000	\$0
iv.	\$0	\$0
v.	\$35,479	\$0
vi.	\$0	\$0
q.	\$4,801,713	\$1,866,315
r.	\$374,207	\$0
s.	\$0	\$0
t.	\$1,042,676	\$0
u.	\$520,526	\$92,874
v.	\$297,386	\$348,272
w.	\$0	\$0
x.	\$0	\$0
y.	\$0	\$0
i.	\$1,109,517	\$0
ii.	\$0	\$0
iii.	\$0	\$0
iv.	\$0	\$0
z.	\$1,109,517	\$0

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

Subsection II. State Resources

a. State appropriated funds used for the same purpose as Federal CSBG funds	a.	\$712,797
b. State Housing and Homeless programs (include housing tax credits)	b.	\$0
c. State Nutrition programs	c.	\$126,720
d. State Day Care and Early Childhood programs	d.	\$1,407,187
e. State Energy programs	e.	\$0
f. State Health programs	f.	\$0
g. State Youth Development programs	g.	\$0
h. State Employment and Training programs	h.	\$7,232
i. State Head Start programs	i.	\$115,285
j. State Senior programs	j.	\$78,485
k. State Transportation programs	k.	\$0
l. State Education programs	l.	\$385,000
m. State Community, Rural and Economic Development programs	m.	\$0
n. State Family Development programs	n.	\$24,250
o. Other State Resources		
	i.	\$35,000
	ii.	\$0
	iii.	\$0
	iv.	\$0
Total Other State Resources	o.	\$35,000

TOTAL: STATE RESOURCES

\$2,891,955

If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I
(Federal Resources), please estimate the amount

\$0

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

Subsection III. Local Resources

a. Amount of unrestricted funds appropriated by local government	\$576,194
b. Amount of restricted funds appropriated by local government	\$995,744
c. Value of Contract Services	\$1,638,054
d. Value of in-kind goods/services received from local government	\$1,783,878

TOTAL: LOCAL PUBLIC RESOURCES	\$4,993,870
--------------------------------------	--------------------

If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I or II, please estimate the amount	\$0
---	-----

Subsection IV. Private Sector Resources

a. Funds from foundations, corps., United Way, other nonprofits	\$1,282,555
b. Other donated funds	\$863,809
c. Value of other donated items, food, clothing, furniture, etc.	\$4,950,095
d. Value of in-kind services received from businesses	\$5,381,325
e. Payments by clients for services	\$627,157
f. Payments by private entities for goods or services for low-income clients or communities	\$19,355

TOTAL: PRIVATE SECTOR RESOURCES	\$13,124,297
--	---------------------

If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I, II, or III, please estimate the amount	\$0
---	-----

TOTAL: ALL OTHER RESOURCES (FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, PRIVATE) less amount of double count in Subsection II, III, IV	\$194,683,425
--	---------------

ARRA ONLY

\$34,699,046

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

2a. Total Non CSBG resources Reported in Section F TOTAL

2b. Total amount of CSBG Funds allocated

Total Resources for FY 2010 (2a + 2b)

\$194,683,425
\$12,097,262
\$206,780,688

ARRA ONLY	
\$34,699,046	
\$16,042,532	
\$50,741,578	

3. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom one or more characteristics were obtained

4. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom no characteristics were obtained

5. Total unduplicated number of families about whom one or more characteristics were obtained

6. Total unduplicated number of families about whom no characteristics were obtained

3.	329,205
4.	3,679
5.	144,918
6.	549

7. Gender

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

a. Male

b. Female

TOTAL*

121,753
207,397
329,150

8. Age

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

a. 0-5

b. 6-11

c. 12-17

d. 18-23

e. 24-44

f. 45-54

g. 55-69

h. 70+

TOTAL*

44,346
44,417
41,467
28,705
73,627
35,417
37,825
22,970
328,774

9. Ethnicity/Race

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

I. Ethnicity

a. Hispanic, Latino or Spanish Origin

b. Not Hispanic, Latino or Spanish Origin

I. TOTAL*

7,002
320,517
327,519

II. Race

a. White

b. Black or African American

c. American Indian and Alaska Native

d. Asian

e. Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander

f. Other

g. Multi-race (any 2 or more of the above)

II. TOTAL*

115,918
204,289
2,353
1,015
78
1,656
3,319
328,628

10. Education Levels of Adults #

(# For Adults 24 Years Or Older Only)

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

a. 0-8

b. 9-12/Non-Graduates

c. High School Graduate/GED

d. 12+ Some Post Secondary

e. 2 or 4 yr College Graduates

TOTAL**

6,063
67,953
62,474
11,304
14,729
162,523

11. Other Characteristics

NUMBER OF PERSONS*

Yes

No

Total

a. Health Insurance

b. Disabled

271,774	56,098	327,872
55,264	273,001	328,265

12. Family Type

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

a. Single Parent/Female

b. Single Parent/Male

c. Two Parent Household

48,615
2,567
12,371

d. Single Person

e. Two Adults/No children

f. Other

60,598
11,021
6,351

TOTAL ***

141,523

13. Family Size

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

a. One

b. Two

c. Three

d. Four

e. Five

f. Six

g. Seven

h. Eight or more

TOTAL ***

63,507
31,256
24,132
15,472
6,682
2,510
811
393
144,763

14. Source of Family Income

NUMBER OF FAMILIES

a. Unduplicated # of Families Reporting

One or More Sources of Income***

b. Unduplicated # of Families

Reporting Zero Income***

TOTAL (a. and b.)***

c. TANF

d. SSI

e. Social Security

f. Pension

g. General Assistance

h. Unemployment Insurance

i. Employment + Other Sources

j. Employment Only

k. Other

TOTAL (c. through k.)

125,294
17,251
142,545
3,835
29,591
52,916
3,467
1,035
10,785
9,413
29,208
19,164
159,414

15. Level of Family Income

(% of HHS Guideline)

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

a. Up to 50%

b. 51% to 75%

c. 76% to 100%

d. 101% to 125%

e. 126% to 150%

f. 151% to 175%

g. 176% to 200%

h. 201% and over

TOTAL ***

43,948
28,316
35,428
19,348
10,669
5,147
1,612
295
144,763

16. Housing

NUMBER OF FAMILIES***

a. Own

b. Rent

c. Homeless

d. Other

TOTAL ***

59,499
70,124
901
5,482
136,006

Number of Agencies Reporting: 20

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

Employment

The number and percentage of low-income participants in Community Action employment initiatives who get a job or become self-employed, as measured by one or more of the following:

A. Unemployed and obtained a job	20	5,280	2,859	2,067	72.30%
B. Employed and maintained a job for at least 90 days	12	3,160	1,978	1,593	80.54%
C. Employed and obtained an increase in employment income and/or benefits	10	2,865	720	602	83.61%
D. Achieve "living wage" employment and/or benefits	10	2,978	1,220	1,097	89.92%

Number of Agencies Reporting: 19

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

Employment Supports

The number of low-income participants for whom barriers to initial or continuous employment are reduced or eliminated through assistance from Community Action, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Number of Participants Enrolled in Programs (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
A. Obtained skills/competencies required for employment	15 5,498	2,851
B. Completed ABE/GED and received certificate or diplom	14 2,430	446
C. Completed post-secondary education program and obtained certificate or diploma	13 2,727	768
D. Enrolled children in before or after school programs	12 3,102	1,580
E. Obtained care for child or other dependant	14 4,798	4,165
F. Obtained access to reliable transportation and/or driver's license	11 2,429	466
G. Obtained health care services for themselves and/or family membe	13 8,196	7,018
H. Obtained and/or maintained safe and affordable housing	10 3,930	1,984
I. Obtained food assistance	10 8,083	6,130
J. Obtained non-emergency LIHEAP energy assistance	10 88,008	85,243
K. Obtained non-emergency WX energy assistance	7 2,546	1,165
L. Obtained other non-emergency energy assistance (State/local/private energy programs. Do not include LIHEAP or WX)	6 8,722	7,203

Number of Agencies Reporting: 15

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

Economic Asset Enhancement and Utilization

The number and percentage of low-income households that achieve an increase in financial assets and/or financial skills as a result of Community Action assistance, and the aggregated amount of those assets and resources for all participants achieving the outcome, as measured by one or more of the following:

Enhancement 1. Number and percent of participants in tax preparation programs who qualified for any type of Federal or State tax credit and the expected aggregated dollar amount of credits

Enhancement 2. Number and percent of participants who obtained court-ordered child support payments and the expected annual aggregated dollar amount of payments

Enhancement 3. Number and percent of participants who were enrolled in telephone lifeline and/or energy discounts with the assistance of the agency and the expected aggregated dollar amount of savings

	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting Period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (Actual) (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)	Aggregated Dollar Amounts (Payments, Credits, or Savings) (\$)
6	1,323	1,268	95.84%	\$1,279,003
4	990	912	92.12%	\$18,821
9	417	330	79.14%	\$11,980

Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2010 - NPI 1.3

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

Utilization 1. Number and percent of participants demonstrating ability to complete and maintain a budget for over 90 days

Utilization 2. Number and percent of participants opening an Individual Development Account (IDA) or other savings account

Utilization 4. Of participants in a Community Action assets development program (IDA and others):

Utilization 4b. Number and percent of participants pursuing postsecondary education with accumulated savings

Utilization 4d. Number and percent of participants purchasing other assets with accumulated savings

Number of Agencies Reporting: 19

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

Community Improvement and Revitalization

Increase in, or safeguarding of, threatened opportunities and community resources or services for low-income people in the community as a result of Community Action projects/initiatives or advocacy with other public and private agencies, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Number of Projects or Initiatives (#)		Number of Opportunities and/or Community Resources Preserved or Increased (#)
A. Jobs created, or saved, from reduction or elimination in the community	15	51	1,502
B. Accessible "living wage" jobs created, or saved, from reduction or elimination in the community	11	95	1,510
C. Safe and affordable housing units created in the community	6	38	409
D. Safe and affordable housing units in the community preserved or improved through construction, weatherization or rehabilitation achieved by Community Action activity or advocacy	8	140	1,780
E. Accessible safe and affordable health care services/facilities for low-income people created, or saved from reduction or elimination	6	28	3,096
F. Accessible safe and affordable child care or child development placement opportunities for low-income families created, or saved from reduction or elimination	8	24	2,996
G. Accessible before-school and after-school program placement opportunities for low-income families created, or saved from reduction or elimination	9	24	777
H. Accessible new or expanded transportation resources, or those that are saved from reduction or elimination, that are available to low-income people, including public or private transportation	8	20	277
I. Accessible or increased educational and training placement opportunities, or those that are saved from reduction or elimination, that are available for low-income people in the community, including vocational, literacy, and life skill training, ABE/GED, and post secondary education	9	70	1,031

Number of Agencies Reporting: 10

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

Community Quality of Life and Assets	Number of Program Initiatives or Advocacy Efforts (#)	Number of Community Assets, Services, or Facilities Preserved or Increased (#)
The quality of life and assets in low-income neighborhoods are improved by Community Action initiative or advocacy, as measured by one or more of the following:		
A. Increases in community assets as a result of a change in law, regulation or policy, which results in improvements in quality of life and assets	6 19	363
B. Increase in the availability or preservation of community facilities	5 53	280
C. Increase in the availability or preservation of community services to improve public health and safety	3 29	2,971
D. Increase in the availability or preservation of commercial services within low-income neighborhoods	4 13	30
E. Increase in or preservation of neighborhood quality-of-life resources	4 72	72

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

Community Engagement

The number of community members working with Community Action to improve conditions in the community.

Total
Contribution
by
Community (#)

A. Number of community members mobilized by Community Action that participate in community revitalization and anti-poverty initiatives

19	15,232
----	--------

B. Number of volunteer hours donated to the agency
(This will be ALL volunteer hours)

22	696,667
----	---------

Number of Agencies Reporting: 19

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

Employment Growth from ARRA Funds

The total number of jobs created or saved, at least in part by ARRA funds, in the community.

Number of
Jobs (#)

A. Jobs created at least in part by ARRA funds

19	1,066
----	-------

B. Jobs saved at least in part by ARRA funds

13	351
----	-----

Number of Agencies Reporting: 21

Goal 3: Low-income people own a stake in their community.

Community Enhancement through Maximum Feasible Participation

	Total Number of Volunteer Hours (#)	
Total number of volunteer hours donated by low-income individuals to Community Action (This is ONLY the number of volunteer hours from individuals who are low-income)	21	443,515

Number of Agencies Reporting: 18

Goal 3: Low-income people own a stake in their community.

Community Enhancement through Maximum Feasible Participation

The number of low-income people mobilized as a direct result of Community Action initiatives to engage in activities that support and promote their own well-being and that of their community, as measured by one or more of the following:

Number of Low-Income People (#)

A. Number of low-income people participating in formal community organizations, government, boards or councils that provide input to decision-making and policy-setting through Community Action efforts

17	1,263
----	-------

B. Number of low-income people acquiring businesses in their community as a result of Community Action assistance

7	14
---	----

C. Number of low-income people purchasing their own home in their community as a result of Community Action assistance

9	64
---	----

D. Number of low-income people engaged in non-governance community activities or groups created or supported by Community Action

12	3,809
----	-------

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

Goal 4: Partnerships among supporters and providers of services to low-income people are achieved

Expanding Opportunities through Community-Wide Partnerships

The number of organizations, both public and private, that Community Action actively works with to expand resources and opportunities in order to achieve family and community outcomes.

	Number of Organizational Partnerships (#)	
Non-Profit	22	1,202
Faith Based	22	425
Local Government	22	261
State Government	22	80
Federal Government	22	114
For-Profit Business or Corporation	21	608
Consortiums/Collaboration	19	159
Housing Consortiums/Collaboration	21	67
School Districts	22	205
Institutions of post secondary education/training	22	75
Financial/Banking Institutions	21	447
Health Service Institutions	21	209
State wide associations or collaborations	18	47

In the rows below, please include any additional indicators that were not captured above.

0

0

0

Total number of organizations CAAs work with to promote family and community outcomes
(This total is not calculated automatically)

22 3,900

Number of Agencies Reporting: 21

Goal 5: Agencies increase their capacity to achieve results

Agency Development

The number of human capital resources available to Community Action that increase agency capacity to achieve family and community outcomes, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Resources in Agency (#)	
Number of Certified-Community Action Professionals	4	19
Number of Nationally Certified ROMA Trainers	3	7
Number of Family Development Trainers	9	34
Number of Child Development Trainers	9	26
Number of Staff Attending Trainings	21	2,570
Number of Board Members Attending Trainings	16	248
Hours of Staff in Trainings	21	57,584
Hours of Board Members in Trainings	16	1,715

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

Independent Living

The number of vulnerable individuals receiving services from Community Action who maintain an independent living situation as a result of those services:

Number of
Vulnerable
Individuals
Living
Independently (#)

A. Senior Citizens (seniors can be reported twice, once under Senior Citizens and again if they are disabled under individuals with Disabilities, ages 55-over)

22	50,828
----	--------

B. Individuals with Disabilities

0-17	21	3,326
------	----	-------

18-54	21	19,063
-------	----	--------

55-over	22	24,768
---------	----	--------

Total (NOT automatically calculated)	22	47,157
--------------------------------------	----	--------

Number of Agencies Reporting: 22

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

Emergency Assistance

The number of low-income individuals served by Community Action who sought emergency assistance and the number of those individuals for whom assistance was provided, including such services as:

	Number of Individuals Seeking Assistance (#)	Number of Individuals Receiving Assistance (#)
A. Emergency Food	21 23,749	22,701
B. Emergency fuel or utility payments funded by LIHEAP or other public and private funding sources	22 113,999	108,683
C. Emergency Rent or Mortgage Assistance	16 3,197	2,795
D. Emergency Car or Home Repair (i.e. structural, appliance, heating system, etc.)	10 1,572	1,243
E. Emergency Temporary Shelter	16 1,323	1,011
F. Emergency Medical Care	16 1,222	380
G. Emergency Protection from Violence	11 534	384
H. Emergency Legal Assistance	13 204	156
I. Emergency Transportation	18 6,460	5,832
J. Emergency Disaster Relief	10 213	183
K. Emergency Clothing	18 1,867	1,266

Number of Agencies Reporting: 16

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

Child and Family Development

The number and percentage of all infants, children, youth, parents, and other adults participating in developmental or enrichment programs who achieve program goals, as measured by one or more of the following:

		Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting Period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (Actual) (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Infant and Child 1. Infants and children obtain age appropriate immunizations, medical, and dental care.	8	10,463	8,347	8,259	98.95%
Infant and Child 2. Infant and child health and physical development are improved as a result of adequate nutrition	8	10,938	10,664	10,538	98.82%
Infant and Child 3. Children participate in pre-school activities to develop school readiness skills	9	10,772	10,583	10,411	98.37%
Infant and Child 4. Children who participate in pre-school activities are developmentally ready to enter Kindergarten or 1st Grade	7	7,647	6,010	5,348	88.99%
Youth 1. Youth improve health and physical development	9	4,457	4,225	4,151	98.25%
Youth 2. Youth improve social/emotional development	9	4,834	4,573	4,532	99.10%
Youth 3. Youth avoid risk-taking behavior for a defined period of time	8	2,881	2,795	2,700	96.60%
Youth 4. Youth have reduced involvement with criminal justice system	7	2,279	2,299	2,230	97.00%
Youth 5. Youth increase academic, athletic, or social skills for school success	10	2,501	2,442	2,367	96.93%
Adult 1. Parents and other adults learn and exhibit improved parenting skills	9	6,359	5,923	4,893	82.61%
Adult 2. Parents and other adults learn and exhibit improved family functioning skills	9	5,656	4,989	4,131	82.80%

Number of Agencies Reporting: 20

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

Family Supports (Seniors, Disabled, and Caregivers)

Low-income people who are unable to work, especially seniors, adults with disabilities, and caregivers, for whom barriers to family stability are reduced or eliminated, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)		Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
A. Enrolled children in before or after school programs	8	1,040	994
B. Obtained care for child or other dependant	7	992	954
C. Obtained access to reliable transportation and/or driver's license	9	387	291
D. Obtained health care services for themselves and/or family memb	9	5,351	5,252
E. Obtained and/or maintained safe and affordable housing	10	1,187	692
F. Obtained food assistance	13	12,885	12,663
G. Obtained non-emergency LIHEAP energy assistance	10	57,919	54,486
H. Obtained non-emergency WX energy assistance	8	2,566	1,530
I. Obtained other non-emergency energy assistance (State/local/private energy programs. Do not include LIHEAP or WX)	8	10,814	8,403

Number of Agencies Reporting: 20

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

Service Counts

The number of services provided to low-income individuals and/or families, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Number of Services (#)	
A. Food Boxes	15	277,789
B. Pounds of Food	9	251,900
C. Units of Clothing	9	7,666
D. Rides Provided	11	50,573
E. Information and Referral Calls	17	49,267